| Software Architecture                                       |  |
|---|--|
| Michel R. V. Chaudron<br><u>LIACS</u> & <u>TU Eindhoven</u> |  |
| Leiden Institute of Advanced Computer Science               |  |























## Slide 11

## MC2

hierarchy - itself a view

- may apply to different views Michel Chaudron; 27-2-2008







| Software Engineering   |  |  |   |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Positioning Architecture   |  |  |   |  |  |
| The question:  | The answer:  | Implementation:  | Deployment:   |  |  |
| Require-<br>ments  | Architecture   | Design –   | → Executable  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Features</li> <li>Use cases</li> <li>Dependability<br/>Timing<br/>Reliability<br/>Security</li> <li>Quality</li> <li>Standards</li> <li>Etc.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>HL-Design<br/>Components<br/>Interfaces<br/>Interactions</li> <li>Styles</li> <li>Constraints</li> <li>Guidelines</li> <li>Reuse</li> <li>Etc.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Decomposition</li> <li>Algorithms</li> <li>Data structures</li> <li>Distribution</li> <li>Scheduling</li> <li>Recovery</li> <li>Language</li> <li>Encryption</li> <li>Etc.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Memory<br/>allocation</li> <li>Dynamic<br/>Instantiation</li> <li>Call stacks</li> <li>Garbage<br/>collection</li> <li>Machine code</li> <li>Etc.</li> </ul> |  |  |
| MRV Chaudron<br>Sheet 16   |  | Leiden Institute   | of Advanced Computer Science  |  |  |













Software Engineering

## Stakeholders & their Concerns 1/2 Stakeholder Concern (Examples) Customer **Business** goals Schedule & budget estimation Feasibility and risk assessment Requirements traceability & progress tracking Product-line compatibility User Consistency with requirements & use cases Future requirements growth accommodation Support of dependability & other X-abilities Service manager Reliability, availability and maintainability MRV Chaudron Sheet 23 Leiden Institute of Advanced Computer Science

| Software Engineering              |   |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Stakeholders & their Concerns 2/2 |   |  |  |  |  |
| Stakeholders                      | Concern (Examples)  |  |  |  |  |
| System engineer                   | Requirements traceability   |  |  |  |  |
|                                   | Support of tradeoff analyses  |  |  |  |  |
|                                   | Completeness of architecture  |  |  |  |  |
|                                   | Consistency of architecture with requirements   |  |  |  |  |
| Developer                         | Sufficient detail for design and development  |  |  |  |  |
|                                   | Workable framework for system construction,   |  |  |  |  |
|                                   | e.g. selection/assembly of components &   |  |  |  |  |
|                                   | technologies<br>Beach time of development visite  |  |  |  |  |
|                                   | Resolution of development risks   |  |  |  |  |
| Maintainer                        | Guidance on software modification   |  |  |  |  |
|                                   | Guidance on architecture evolution  |  |  |  |  |
| MRV Chaudron<br>Sheet 24          | Interoperability with existent systems<br>Leiden Institute of Advanced Computer Science |  |  |  |  |











| Software Engineering  |
|---|
| Philippe Kruchten's Definition  |
| Software architecture is not only concerned with<br>structure and behaviour, but also with<br>• usage<br>• functionality<br>• performance<br>• resilience<br>• reuse<br>• comprehensibility<br>• economic and technological constraints and tradeoffs<br>• aesthetics |
| The Rational Unified Process –– An Introduction,<br>Addison-Wesley, 1999.   |
| MRV Chaudron<br>Sheet 30 Leiden Institute of Advanced Computer Science  |











| Software Engineering     |                          |   |  |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| Web<br>(V0. <sup>-</sup> | Shop: Fun 1)             | ctional Areas                                 |  |  |
|                          | Customer<br>Registration | Shop Owner<br>Registration                    |  |  |
|                          | Shop User Interface      | Product Catalogue<br>Maintenance              |  |  |
|                          | Payment                  | Stock Control                                 |  |  |
| MRV Chaudron<br>Sheet 36 |                          | Leiden Institute of Advanced Computer Science |  |  |























| Software Engineering  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| <b>Recommendations for Architecture Description</b>   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>describe the system goals &amp; the assumptions on the environment</li> <li>describe the design principles decisions guidelines</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
| • and their rationale   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>describe several views that can be combined in a consistent model</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| at least the following views should be given:   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>functional/structural (decomposition) view</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>include a description of the interfaces between (sub)systems</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
| • process/dynamical/behaviour view  |  |  |  |
| ・ deployment view   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>prevent mixing of views</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>address non-functional (*ilities) aspects</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>use a well-defined notation and include its key/legend</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>this aids systematic use of notation/avoids inconsistent use</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>improves common understanding</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>prevents mixing of different levels of abstraction</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>add explanation in natural language</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| MRV Chaudron<br>Sheet 48 Leiden Institute of Advanced Computer Science  |  |  |  |











- improves common understanding
- · prevents mixing of different levels of abstraction

Leiden Institute of Advanced Computer Science

d explanation in natural language Sh et 53

